



## Lake Anna 2010

### Background/stocking/regulations

Lake Anna is a 9,600-acre impoundment owned by the Dominion Virginia Power Company (Dominion). The reservoir spans Louisa, Spotsylvania and Orange counties and serves as cooling water for the two-unit North Anna Nuclear Power Station. Dominion has recently filed paperwork and initiated environmental studies needed to add an additional reactor to the site.

Fish stocking began in 1972 with introductions of largemouth bass, bluegill, redear sunfish and channel catfish. Subsequent stockings of redear, channel catfish, walleye, striped bass and largemouth bass (both Florida and northern strains) were made. Threadfin shad and blueback herring were successfully introduced in the 1980s. Striped bass and walleye were usually stocked annually until 2007 when walleye stocking was discontinued due to poor post-stocking survival.

A 20-inch minimum length limit and a four-per-day creel limit regulate striped bass harvest. A 12-15 inch slot length limit was established to restructure the largemouth bass population in 1985. Prior to that time, a 12-inch minimum size limit was in effect, but in 2005; the bass slot limit was rescinded due to extraordinarily high voluntary release rates of largemouth bass.

### Creel survey

A 2005 daytime creel survey (a survey where anglers are interviewed about their fishing habits, preferences and success rates) indicated that annual fishing pressure was 13 hours/acre. This rate was considered moderate for a large reservoir and was below the previous Lake Anna sample (24 hours/acre in 2000); however, the 2000 survey was conducted during a drought year when lack of inclement weather likely increased fishing pressure. Additionally, both surveys were conducted during daylight hours from established boat access points. Thus, fishing effort originating from private docks and nighttime angling effort were not recorded resulting in an underestimate of true fishing pressure.

Preferred species selected by anglers in 2005 included largemouth bass (62%), striped bass (22%), crappie (15%) and catfish (1%). These fishing preferences were similar to those documented in 2000 but represented declines in percentage of anglers seeking largemouth bass and catfish and increases in percentage of anglers seeking striped bass and crappie (striped bass represented the largest shift in either direction – an increase from 15% to 22%). Dominant species *caught* (by number) were black crappie (49,011 or 48%) and largemouth bass (25,776 or 25%), but an extraordinary number of largemouth bass were released (99.5%). Thus, dominant species *harvested* (by number) were black crappie (31,670 or 82%) and striped bass (5966 or

15%). Striped bass harvest increased, likely concomitant with pressure, 246% from 2000 when only 4% of fish harvested were striped bass.

A new annual creel survey was initiated on January 1, 2010, and full results and comparisons will be included in the next *Lake Anna Management Report* (due March, 2013). Supplemental findings will be available as bulleted highlights in March 2011.

The aquatic weed *Hydrilla verticillata* became established in Lake Anna during the late 1980s, and abundance increased rapidly--from 96 acres in 1990 to 832 acres in 1994. Sterile (triploid) grass carp (N=6185) were stocked into Virginia Power's Waste Heat Treatment Facility (WHTF) in 1994 to control *Hydrilla*. The WHTF is separated from Lake Anna by three dikes, and thermal effluent enters the lake via gravity flow under the third dike. All grass carp stocked in the WHTF were marked with coded wire micro tags. No grass carp were stocked in Lake Anna. Grass carp began to appear in Lake Anna a short time after the stocking of the WHTF obviously having negotiated the gravity feed beneath the third dike. *Hydrilla* abundance declined rapidly in Lake Anna and in the WHTF during the following years. However, weather conditions during 1995 were suboptimal for aquatic macrophyte growth, and it is likely that the combination of these climactic conditions and foraging by grass carp reduced, and finally eliminated, *Hydrilla* from Lake Anna. Aquatic plants are generally considered desirable in an aquatic system. It has taken years for the grass carp population to decline through natural mortality and only recently have small amounts of aquatic vegetation begun to emerge.

### **Fish Sampling**

Historically, rotenone sampling at Lake Anna was conducted every three years to generate species composition and biomass estimates. However, due to extremely high variances in biomass estimates, heavy shoreline development (with the potential for public relations problems) and intensive manpower requirements; rotenone use at Lake Anna was discontinued after 1995. Increased gill netting with larger, multi-panel nets was determined to be an adequate replacement for community structure and forage evaluation while providing the needed data for predator stocking evaluation. Current annual sampling includes spring electrofishing for largemouth bass in the upper, middle and lower portion of the reservoir. Upper lake electrofishing is conducted on Pamunky Creek (above Terry's Run); middle lake sampling is conducted in the vicinity of the splits (to Stubbs Bridge on Pamunky Creek and Route 719 on the North Anna River); and lower lake sampling is conducted between the Dam and Dike II. Gill net surveys are stratified by upper and lower lake (using Route 208 as the boundary), and specific sites are selected based on a random block design. A total of 36 net nights of effort are conducted annually (one net set overnight is one net night). Gill nets are 200 X 8 feet and have eight different 25-foot panels that allow the sampling of most sizes of fish present in the lake. Typically, with either gear; fish are measured for total length and weight and released. However, ear stones (otoliths) may occasionally be removed from fish to determine exact age. This information is crucial when evaluating certain population parameters and determining stocking success.

Stockings during the past decade included striped bass and walleye (Table 1). Stocking rates and locations were variable in attempts to maximize hatchery resources. Stocking evaluations are included below as part of a species-by-species summary of fish population status.

### **Largemouth Bass**

Largemouth bass mean electrofishing catch rate (CPUE, or number caught per hour of electrofishing) for all size groups increased or remained stable over the past two decades, and two groups were near record levels in 2009 (Table 2, Figures 1 and 2). Size groups of

largemouth bass are universally defined as fingerling (under 8 inches), stock (at least 8 inches), quality (at least 12 inches), preferred (at least 15 inches), and memorable (at least 20 inches). Stock-size fish are generally considered to be mature (or nearly so) and recruited to the population. CPUE trend of stock-size, quality-size and preferred-size bass between 1993 and 2009 had remarkably similar gradual, ascending slopes suggesting abundance of these size groups increased. Data points undulated in cyclical fashion around these trend lines, but overall increases of quality and preferred size groups were statistically significant. Catch variability was low for these size categories of bass but was higher for fingerling and memorable size categories. This was probably due to two things: 1) Fingerling and memorable size categories were the least abundant (in samples) so that small changes in catch rate had large influence on their relative abundance within the sample, and 2) These size categories were reduced by actual bias (there simply are not that many memorable fish in the population) or sampling bias (it is often harder to catch juvenile bass with electrofishing gear even though they may be, in fact, the most abundant size group in the population). Although catch variation of bass fingerlings increased since 2006, data still suggested Lake Anna is a stable system (compared with other southeastern U.S. reservoirs) and produces consistent year classes (or cohorts) of bass from year-to-year.

CPUE was always significantly higher in the upper and middle lake than in the lower lake. This was likely due to a productivity gradient expected in a large tributary storage impoundment, as biomass is usually much higher at upstream locations.

Largemouth bass structural indices (PSD and RSDs) paralleled catch rates and suggested that population size structure shifted gradually upwards - towards larger individuals (Table 3). PSD (proportional stock density) is an index that describes the size structure of a population and may be used in context of predator/prey relationships to determine balance within a fish community. Simply - the larger the number; the larger the proportion of big fish in a population. PSD for largemouth bass is determined by the ratio of the number of bass that are greater than eight inches but also greater than 12 inches. Similarly, RSD-P (relative stock density of preferred bass) is a ratio of the number of bass that are greater than eight inches but also greater than 15 inches. These indices suggested the population had adequate (and increasing) numbers of large bass - especially since PSD (77) and RSD-P (42) were at the second highest levels ever documented in 2009

Otoliths have not collected from bass since 2002. Bass growth rates were above average for young fish, as fish reached 7.2 inches, 10.6 inches and 13.1 inches by their first, second and third years. However, growth slowed in the upper portion of, and just over, the slot (since removed). A typical bass reached 15 inches at 4.4 years and averaged only about one inch per year until age eight or nine. Evidence suggested that bass at Lake Anna were stockpiling and stunting, albeit at a more desirable size than typically occurs. Growth patterns required a bass about ten years (at a conservative minimum) to reach citation length (22 inches). Based on growth curves, it's more likely that citation bass were at least 12 years old unless other factors were at work (e.g., forage and growth variability). Fish up to age 13 were collected. Otoliths will again be collected if substantial changes occur to the size structure of the population, catch rates of certain groups change, or management philosophy dictates a necessity for more recent biological descriptors.

Total annual mortality (the percentage of the bass population that dies each year from all causes) was 27% for fish aged 2-12 based on a catch curve of bass sampled in 2002. While these estimates assume constant recruitment (equal production of young fish from year-to-year), they

are low and support current and previous findings that the population is lightly exploited. Total annual mortality is composed of natural and fishing mortality. Estimates of annual natural mortality were similar to the rates listed above (for total mortality) and thus further suggested fishing mortality was very low.

Relative Weights ( $W_r$ , a measure to describe the plumpness or well-being of a fish) were highest in upper lake bass and declined down lake. The lowest  $W_r$  values were from lower lake fish.

Stomachs taken from fish sacrificed for otoliths were analyzed, and 61% were empty. Bass that had stomach contents ate fish (35%), artificial lures (2%), crayfish (1%) and insects (1%). Many consumed fish were unidentifiable, but the following were observed in decreasing abundance: bluegill, white perch and threadfin shad. It is likely that many of the unidentifiable items were shad (either gizzard or threadfin).

### **Striped Bass**

Striped bass were stocked annually at variable rates (Table 1) in an effort to determine an optimum stocking rate for Lake Anna, as overstocking could result in reduced growth, survival and/or recruitment. Lake Anna striped bass stockings were evaluated with gill nets (for fish under age 5). Older (larger) individuals were caught periodically and provided useful information, but the maximum bar mesh size of 2 inches precluded reliable sampling of larger striped bass.

Generally, young fish grew quickly through age 3 (when they reached the legal 20-inch minimum size), but growth slowed thereafter. Striped bass averaged 10, 18 and 22 inches at ages 1, 3, and 5. This pattern of striped bass growth (rapid growth of juvenile and sub-adult fish followed by slow growth of adults) is common in southeastern reservoirs with marginal habitat such as Lake Anna. Habitat needs shift as striped bass age, and summer conditions at Lake Anna typically find water temperature and dissolved oxygen combinations marginal for adult striped bass, especially in the lower portion of the reservoir. For comparison, striped bass at Smith Mountain Lake; a reservoir with good adult striped bass summer habitat, averaged 10, 21 and 26 inches at the ages 1, 3, and 5.

Efforts to correlate number of fish stocked with abundance finally met with some success given an enhanced dataset (an additional three years). Stocking rates between 5 and 32 fish per acre were used in an effort to establish the best striped bass stocking rate for Lake Anna (more fish are not always better, and excessive stocking can lead to increased competition, lower body mass during the first winter and subsequent poor recruitment). Findings still suggested that the number of striped bass recruiting to the population is based, at least in part, on other variables (likely environmental effects or forage abundance, such as zooplankton, at the time of stocking). The best relationship found was between stocking rate and catch of age-2 fish two years post stocking. The five strongest year classes over a 12-year period were derived from variable stocking rates (10-32/acre). It appeared that the 2008 year class was strong (stocked at 20/acre) but that the 2007 year class was nearly a failure (stocked at 25/acre). The best stocking rate for Lake Anna may be within the window of 15-20 fish per acre, and analysis will continue to better define the correct allotment.

Cohort based mortality estimates (the practice of looking at mortality rate of each year class over time rather than looking at the population as a whole, since rates can be different based on abundance or number stocked) were calculated for each striped bass year class with

ample data (1997-2006). These estimates provided the total annual mortality rate – that is, the percentage of the year class that died each year from all causes. Essentially, each stocking was considered a subgroup, and these groups were followed through time to see how they survived. The oldest year classes had the most data points (or years of catch-per-unit-effort data) and provide the best relationship. The 1997 year class had only a 28% total annual mortality rate (fish age 0-5) which translated into a high 72% survival rate. Other mortality estimates with large data sets were similar and ranged from 26-40% and averaged 31%. These findings suggest that the overall mortality rate for striped bass at Lake Anna is moderate to low.

Relative abundance of striped bass in Lake Anna was estimated by catch rate or catch per unit effort (CPUE). This was simply the number of striped bass caught per net night of effort. Since new netting protocols were established in 1997, CPUE for striped bass in gill nets has ranged from 3.0 (1998) to 7.6 (2008). The 13-year striped bass average CPUE was 4.4 (Table 4), and catch in 2009 was 5.2. Most striped bass were caught in the upper portion of the reservoir. The North Anna River from Rose Valley upstream past Christopher Run and the Pamunky River from Jetts Island upstream to Terry's Run (and into Terry's Run upstream to the bridge) were typically very productive locations during November and December netting (especially in 2009). Fishing success for striped bass in 2010-2012 should be excellent with the record 2006 year class providing some larger fish followed by an increasingly exploited 2008 year class.

### **Walleye**

Walleye were historically stocked sporadically at Lake Anna (Table 1). Due to recruitment failures of stocked walleye and the resulting low population size combined with the low number of anglers pursuing (and catching) walleye, stockings were discontinued after 2006. Walleye were still caught in gill net surveys each year since stocking was curtailed (Table 4), but catch rate only averaged 0.2 fish per net night the past three years. Walleye will likely continue to be caught occasionally for at least another three years until natural and fishing mortality claim the remnants of this population.

### **Black Crappie**

Black crappie were evaluated with experimental gill nets in 1997-2009. It was assumed that gill nets sampled to the entire population without bias. Otoliths were removed from all fish captured in 2002 to develop estimates of growth and mortality. Crappie were the second most abundant fish in gill nets (tied with white perch behind gizzard shad), and although gill net effort was equal; most crappie (94%) were caught in the upper lake. Mean CPUE (catch per unit effort) in gill nets averaged 9.9 fish per net night between 1997-2009 with 1997 producing the highest (15.0) and 2000 the lowest (5.5) CPUE (Table 4). CPUE in 2009 (10.1) was slightly above average.

Black crappie size structure recently has been excellent. Average size was over nine inches in 2008 (a record for net surveys), and the second largest average was seen in 2006. Strong representation of younger fish in 2009 dropped the average to eight inches, but many fish over 12 inches were still observed.

### **Catfish**

Catfish populations were evaluated with experimental gill nets in 1997-2009. The five species caught (in decreasing abundance) were channel catfish, white catfish, yellow bullhead, brown bullhead and blue catfish; however, only the former two contributed significantly to overall biomass. Channel catfish were the fourth most prevalent species taken in gill nets. Channel and white catfish CPUE (catch per unit effort) fluctuated during the period with no

apparent trend within or between species. Highest CPUE occurred in 2009 for channel catfish (6.5 fish per net night) and 2005 for white catfish (4.9 per net night), but lowest CPUE occurred in 1997 for channel catfish (2.3 per net night) and in 2002 for white catfish (1.5 per net night).

Channel catfish was one of the few species sampled in nearly equivalent numbers in the upper and lower portions of the reservoir - over 40% were collected from the lower reservoir, but average size was significantly greater in the upper reservoir. White catfish lengths were identical from both portions of the lake, but fewer were collected below Route 208.

Two small blue catfish were caught (one in 1997 and 1998). Their origin is unknown, as no stocking records exist for this species in Lake Anna; however, blue catfish were stocked in the Lake Anna watershed (Lake Orange) during the 1980s.

### **Forage**

The forage base (members of the shad and herring family or clupeidae) includes gizzard and threadfin shad and blueback herring at Lake Anna. Most of the forage biomass is composed of gizzard shad, although blueback herring have been a challenge to effectively assess, and threadfin shad abundance is cyclic (or, more cyclic than the others) – based largely on minimum water temperatures, as this species has the proclivity to “winter kill”, although it has been several years since a winter shad kill was reported at Lake Anna.

Estimates of gizzard shad biomass from historical rotenone samples ranged from near 100 to over 300 lbs/acre, while gill net CPUE (catch per unit effort) varied from 6 to 27 and averaged 14 fish per net night. The highest CPUE was in 2000, and the lowest was in 2004. Gizzard shad abundance has also been cyclic, with low catch rates typically followed within a year to two by high catch rates. Catch rate of gizzard shad in 2009 (20 per net night) was well above average, and most shad (93% of 714 fish) were caught in the upper lake.

Threadfin shad abundance, based on gill net catch rate, was above average for the past three years (2007-2009). This is a desirable trend, as maximum size of this species does not preclude consumption by typical sizes of striped bass and largemouth bass in Lake Anna. Threadfin shad were also more abundant in the upper lake (74% of 146 fish).

Blueback herring, a favorite live bait of striper anglers, were also more abundant in 2009 net samples than average or at any time in the past six years. However, this was the one forage species that was fairly evenly distributed throughout the lake (55% of 135 fish were caught in the upper lake).

### **Other Species**

Lake Anna is home to many other species – some of various recreational importance including redear sunfish and white perch and others important ecologically such as creek chubsucker and white sucker. Habitats are variable throughout the lake, and species abundance can be sporadic. For example, chain pickerel (a native top level predator and sport fish) prefer slow moving coastal plain systems where tannins from leaf litter frequently stain the water and reduce pH to a level lower than typically found in the piedmont. Contrary Creek, while suffering from acid mine drainage, offers a unique habitat in Lake Anna and supports a thriving chain pickerel population. These species are sampled periodically in gill nets, and their abundance can be gauged by catch per unit effort or number caught per net night (Table 4).

Table 1. Pelagic predator fingerling stocking in Lake Anna 1993-2009 (numbers rounded to the nearest thousand; STB = striped bass, WAE = walleye; underlined numbers represent all or mostly fry).

	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
STB	172	146	132	148	96	196	98	108	48	199	210	90	155	304	240	192	202
/ac	18	15	14	15	10	20	10	11	5	21	22	9	16	32	25	20	21
WAE	0	53	58	97	0	480	240	259	240	243	228	98	<u>1600</u>	<u>623</u>	0	0	0
/ac	0	6	6	10	0	50	25	27	25	25	24	10	167	65	0	0	0

Table 2. Mean electrofishing catch per unit effort (CPUE) of various size groups of largemouth bass at Lake Anna, 1993-2006 with 16-year average. Fingerlings are less than eight inches, stock are at least 8 inches, quality are at least 12 inches, preferred are at least 15 inches, and memorable are at least 20 inches; note no sample conducted in 1994.

Size	1993	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Ave
Fing	13	4	7	5	8	8	7	7	10	11	8	9	8	10	6	4	<b>8</b>
Stk	54	55	45	54	59	50	49	60	49	58	42	65	78	78	58	67	<b>58</b>
Qual	31	36	28	41	42	37	39	45	32	40	33	40	59	55	41	52	<b>41</b>
Pref	15	17	12	19	21	19	21	25	14	19	14	26	29	26	21	28	<b>20</b>
Mem	2	2	0	3	2	1	4	2	1	1	1	4	2	2	2	2	<b>2</b>
Tot	67	59	52	59	67	58	56	67	59	69	50	74	86	88	64	71	<b>65</b>

Table 3. Largemouth bass structural indices from electrofishing surveys at Lake Anna, 1993-2009 (PSD=proportional stock density, RSD=relative stock density; see narrative for explanation).

Index	1993	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Ave
PSD	60	65	60	75	74	75	80	75	65	69	80	61	76	71	71	77	<b>71</b>
RSDP	29	33	27	36	35	39	43	42	29	32	35	40	37	33	36	42	<b>36</b>
RSDM	5	4	2	4	3	5	7	4	2	2	1	3	3	3	3	3	<b>3</b>

pecies	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Mean
Gizzard shad	8.5	11.8	9.3	27.1	21.0	6.2	22.2	6.1	11.3	15.2	9.4	7.7	19.8	<b>13.5</b>
B. crappie	15.0	11.2	13.7	5.5	8.2	12.2	6.3	11.4	10.0	10.7	7.1	7.4	10.1	<b>9.9</b>
White perch	2.6	8.1	12.5	11.3	15.1	8.5	10.4	13.5	11.7	7.8	5.2	12.2	10.3	<b>9.9</b>
Channel cat	2.3	5.7	3.5	4.1	5.5	4.7	5.5	4.5	6.2	4.0	5.3	4.6	6.5	<b>4.8</b>
Striped bass	4.4	3.0	3.1	4.8	3.5	3.7	3.9	4.0	3.3	5.9	4.3	7.6	5.2	<b>4.4</b>
W. catfish	1.9	4.5	3.4	2.2	2.4	1.5	2.2	3.2	4.9	3.2	3.0	3.6	2.0	<b>2.9</b>
Threadfin	1.6	1.0	0.4	3.6	1.6	1.3	7.3	2.6	0.9	1.6	3.6	8.3	4.1	<b>2.9</b>
Blueback	1.4	0.7	0.1	8.5	1.0	0.0	4.3	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.7	3.8	<b>1.7</b>
Largemouth	1.4	1.2	0.8	1.0	0.7	1.9	1.3	1.3	2.2	1.4	1.3	1.8	0.9	<b>1.3</b>
Walleye	0.6	0.4	1.0	1.6	1.0	2.6	2.0	1.5	0.9	0.4	0.1	0.3	0.2	<b>1.0</b>
White sucker	0.5	0.8	0.3	1.0	0.7	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.7	0.3	0.6	0.3	<b>0.5</b>
Redear	0.2	0.5	0.4	0.2	0.8	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.4	0.3	<b>0.4</b>
Bluegill	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.3	1.1	0.3	0.6	0.4	0.7	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.4	<b>0.4</b>
Spot. shiner	0.6	0.2	0.6	1.0	0.8	0.4	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1		<b>0.3</b>
B. bullhead			0.1	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.3	<b>0.2</b>
C.chubsucker	0.1		0.3		0.9	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1		<b>0.2</b>
C. carp	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.2		<b>0.2</b>

Y. bullhead	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0		0.2		<b>0.1</b>
C. pickerel	0.1		0.1	0.1	0.2		0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1				<b>0.1</b>
Quillback				0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.5	0.2	0.1	<b>0.1</b>
Yellow perch		0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1		0.1	0.1	0.1		0.1			<b>0.1</b>
G. shiner	0.1				0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1				<b>0.1</b>
Redbreast		0.1	0.1		0.1		0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1			0.1	<b>0.1</b>
Warmouth		0.1		0.1	0.1		0.1	0.1		0.1	0.1			<b>0.1</b>
Blue catfish	0.1	0.1						0.1				0.1		
G. sunfish							0.1		0.1	0.1				
S'fin. shiner							0.1							
S. redhorse								0.1		0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	
N. hogsucker												0.1		

Table 4. Catch per unit effort (number of fish per net night) for 29 fish species sampled at Lake Anna for 13 years with gill nets. Fish listed in decreasing order of abundance for mean catch.




Figure 1. Trends in abundance of largemouth bass in Lake Anna based on spring electrofishing. Fingerling fish are less than 8", stock fish are 8" and longer, quality fish are 12" and longer, and memorable fish are 20" and longer.




Figure 2. Trends in abundance of largemouth bass in Lake Anna based on spring electrofishing. preferred fish are 15” and longer and total fish include fingerling and stock (all individuals).